§710.32 Reconsideration of access eligibility.

- (a) If, pursuant to the procedures set forth in §§ 710.20 through 710.31 the Manager, Hearing Officer, Appeal Panel, or the Secretary has made a decision granting or reinstating access authorization for an individual, the individual's access authorization eligibility shall be reconsidered as a new administrative review under the procedures set forth in this subpart when previously unconsidered derogatory information is identified, or the individual violates a commitment or promise upon which the DOE previously relied to favorably resolve an issue of access authorization eligibility.
- (b) If, pursuant to the procedures set forth in §§ 710.20 through 710.31 the Manager, Hearing Officer, Appeal Panel, or the Secretary has made a decision denying or revoking access authorization for the individual, the individual's access authorization eligibility may be reconsidered only when the individual so requests, when there is a bona fide offer of employment requiring access to Restricted Data, national security information, or special nuclear material, and when there is either:
- (1) Material and relevant new evidence which the individual and the individual's representatives are without fault in failing to present earlier, or

(2) Convincing evidence of rehabilitation or reformation.

- (c) A request for reconsideration shall be submitted in writing to the Director, Office of Security Affairs, accompanied by an affidavit setting forth in detail the new evidence or evidence of rehabilitation or reformation. If the Director, Office of Security Affairs, determines that the regulatory requirements for reconsideration have been met, the Director shall notify the individual that the individual's access authorization shall be reconsidered in accordance with established procedures for determining eligibility for access authorizations.
- (d) If the individual's access authorization is not reinstated following reconsideration, the individual shall be advised by the Director, Office of Safeguards and Security, in writing:

(1) Of the unfavorable action and the reason(s) therefor; and

- (2) That within 30 calendar days from the date of receipt of the notification, he may file, through the Director, Office of Safeguards and Security, DOE Headquarters, a written request for a review of the decision by the Appeal Panel, in accordance with § 710.29.
- 18. Newly redesignated § 710.33 is revised to read as follows:

§710.33 Terminations.

If the individual is no longer an applicant for access authorization or no longer requires access authorization, the procedures of this subpart shall be terminated without a final decision as to the individual's access authorization eligibility, unless a final decision has been rendered prior to the DOE being notified of the change in the individual's pending access authorization status.

19. Newly redesignated § 710.35 is revised to read as follows:

§710.35 Time frames.

Statements of time established for processing aspects of a case under this subpart are the agency's desired time frames in implementing the procedures set forth in this subpart. However, failure to meet the time frames shall have no impact upon the final disposition of an access authorization by a Manager, Hearing Officer, the Appeal Panel, or the Secretary, and shall confer no procedural or substantive rights upon an individual whose access authorization eligibility is being considered.

20. Section 710.36 is added to read as follows:

§710.36 Acting officials.

Except for the Secretary, the responsibilities and authorities conferred in this subpart may be exercised by persons who have been designated in writing as acting for, or in the temporary capacity of, the following DOE positions: The Local Director of Security, the Manager, the Director, Office of Safeguards and Security, or the General Counsel. The responsibilities and authorities of the Director, Office of Security Affairs, may be exercised in his absence only by the Deputy Director, Office of Security Affairs.

21. Appendix B to Subpart A of Part 710 is added to read as follows:

Appendix B to Subpart A of Part 710— Adjudicative Guidelines Approved by the President in Accordance With the Provisions of Executive Order 12968

(The following guidelines, included in this subpart for reference purposes only, are reproduced as provided to the DOE by the Security Policy Board. The President may change the guidelines without notice.)

Adjudicative Guidelines for Determining Eligibility for Access to Classified Information

1. Introduction. The following adjudicative guidelines are established for all U.S. government civilian and military personnel, consultants, contractors, employees of contractors, licensees, certificate holders or grantees and their employees and other

individuals who require access to classified information. They apply to persons being considered for initial or continued eligibility for access to classified information, to include sensitive compartmented information and special access programs and are to be used by government departments and agencies in all final clearance determinations.

2. The Adjudicative Process.

- (a) The adjudicative process is an examination of a sufficient period of a person's life to make an affirmative determination that the person is eligible for a security clearance. Eligibility for access to classified information is predicated upon the individual meeting these personnel security guidelines. The adjudicative process is the careful weighing of a number of variables known as the whole person concept. Available, reliable information about the person, past and present, favorable and unfavorable, should be considered in reaching a determination. In evaluating the relevance of an individual's conduct, the adjudicator should consider the following factors:
- (1) The nature, extent, and seriousness of the conduct;
- (2) The circumstances surrounding the conduct, to include knowledgeable participation;
- (3) The frequency and recency of the
- (4) The individual's age and maturity at the time of the conduct;
 - (5) The voluntariness of participation;
- (6) The presence or absence of rehabilitation and other pertinent behavioral changes;
- (7) The motivation for the conduct;(8) The potential for pressure, coercion, exploitation, or duress; and
- (9) The likelihood of continuation or recurrence.
- (b) Each case must be judged on its own merits, and final determination remains the responsibility of the specific department or agency. Any doubt as to whether access to classified information is clearly consistent with national security will be resolved in favor of the national security.
- (c) The ultimate determination of whether the granting or continuing of eligibility for a security clearance is clearly consistent with the interests of national security must be an overall common sense determination based upon careful consideration of the following, each of which is to be evaluated in the context of the whole person concept, as explained further below:
- (1) Guideline A: Allegiance to the United States;
 - (2) Guideline B: Foreign influence;
 - (3) Guideline C: Foreign preference;
 - (4) Guideline D: Sexual behavior;
 - (5) Guideline E: Personal conduct;
 - (6) Guideline F: Financial considerations;
- (7) Guideline G: Alcohol consumption;
- (8) Guideline H: Drug involvement;
- (9) Guideline I: Emotional, mental, and personality disorders;
 - (10) Guideline I: Criminal Conduct:
 - (11) Guideline K: Security violations;
 - (12) Guideline L: Outside activities;
- (13) Guideline M: Misuse of Information Technology Systems.

- (d) Although adverse information concerning a single criterion may not be sufficient for an unfavorable determination, the individual may be disqualified if available information reflects a recent or recurring pattern of questionable judgment, irresponsibility, or emotionally unstable behavior. Notwithstanding, the whole person concept, pursuit of further investigation may be terminated by an appropriate adjudicative agency in the face of reliable, significant, disqualifying, adverse information.
- (e) When information of security concern becomes known about an individual who is currently eligible for access to classified information, the adjudicator should consider whether the person:
 - (1) Voluntarily reported the information;
- (2) Was truthful and complete in responding to questions;
- (3) Sought assistance and followed professional guidance, where appropriate;
- (4) Resolved or appears likely to favorably resolve the security concern;
- (5) Has demonstrated positive changes in behavior and employment;
- (6) Should have his or her access temporarily suspended pending final adjudication of the information.
- (f) If after evaluating information of security concern, the adjudicator decides that the information is not serious enough to warrant a recommendation of disapproval or revocation of the security clearance, it may be appropriate to recommend approval with a warning that future incidents of a similar nature may result in revocation of access.

Guideline A: Allegiance to the United States

- 3. The Concern. An individual must be of unquestioned allegiance to the United States. The willingness to safeguard classified information is in doubt if there is any reason to suspect an individual's allegiance to the United States.
- 4. Conditions that could raise a security concern and may be disqualifying include:
- (a) Involvement in any act of sabotage, espionage, treason, terrorism, sedition, or other act whose aim is to overthrow the Government of the United States or alter the form of government by unconstitutional means;
- (b) Association or sympathy with persons who are attempting to commit, or who are committing, any of the above acts;
- (c) Association or sympathy with persons or organizations that advocate the overthrow of the United States Government, or any state or subdivision, by force or violence or by other unconstitutional means;
- (d) Involvement in activities which unlawfully advocate or practice the commission of acts of force or violence to prevent others from exercising their rights under the Constitution or laws of the United States or of any state.
- 5. Conditions that could mitigate security concerns include:
- (a) The individual was unaware of the unlawful aims of the individual or organization and severed ties upon learning of these;
- (b) The individual's involvement was only with the lawful or humanitarian aspects of such an organization;

- (c) Involvement in the above activities occurred for only a short period of time and was attributable to curiosity or academic interest:
- (d) The person has had no recent involvement or association with such

Guideline B: Foreign Influence

- 6. The Concern. A security risk may exist when an individual's immediate family, including cohabitants and other persons to whom he or she may be bound by affection, influence, or obligation are not citizens of the United States or may be subject to duress. These situations could create the potential for foreign influence that could result in the compromise of classified information. Contacts with citizens of other countries or financial interests in other countries are also relevant to security determinations if they make an individual potentially vulnerable to coercion, exploitation, or pressure.
- 7. Conditions that could raise a security concern and may be disqualifying include:
- (a) An immediate family member, or a person to whom the individual has close ties of affection or obligation, is a citizen of, or resident or present in, a foreign country.
- (b) Sharing living quarters with a person or persons, regardless of their citizenship status, if the potential for adverse foreign influence or duress exists;
- (c) Relatives, cohabitants, or associates who are connected with any foreign country;
- (d) Failing to report, where required, associations with foreign nationals;
- (e) Unauthorized association with a suspected or known collaborator or employee of a foreign intelligence service;
- (f) Conduct which may make the individual vulnerable to coercion, exploitation, or pressure by a foreign government;
- (g) Indications that representatives or nationals from a foreign country are acting to increase the vulnerability of the individual to possible future exploitation, coercion or pressure;
- (h) A substantial financial interest in a country, or in any foreign owned or operated business that could make the individual vulnerable to foreign influence.
- 8. Conditions that could mitigate security concerns include:
- (a) A determination that the immediate family member(s) (spouse, father, mother, sons, daughters, brothers, sisters), cohabitant, or associate(s) in question are not agents of a foreign power or in a position to be exploited by a foreign power in a way that could force the individual to choose between loyalty to the person(s) involved and the United States;
- (b) Contacts with foreign citizens are the result of official United States Government business:
- (c) Contact and correspondence with foreign citizens are casual and infrequent;
- (d) The individual has promptly complied with existing agency requirements regarding the reporting of contacts, requests, or threats from persons or organizations from a foreign country;
- (e) Foreign financial interests are minimal and not sufficient to affect the individual's security responsibilities.

Guideline C: Foreign Preference

- 9. The Concern. When an individual acts in such a way as to indicate a preference for a foreign country over the United States, then he or she may be prone to provide information or make decisions that are harmful to the interests of the United States.
- 10. Conditions that could raise a security concern and may be disqualifying include:
 - (a) The exercise of dual citizenship;
- (b) Possession and/or use of a foreign passport;
- (c) Military service or a willingness to bear arms for a foreign country;
- (d) Accepting educational, medical, or other benefits, such as retirement and social welfare, from a foreign country;
- (e) Residence in a foreign country to meet citizenship requirements;
- (f) Using foreign citizenship to protect financial or business interests in another country:
- (g) Seeking or holding political office in the foreign country;
- (h) Voting in foreign elections; and
 (i) Performing or attempting to perform
 duties, or otherwise acting, so as to serve the
 interests of another government in preference
 to the interests of the United States.
- 11. Conditions that could mitigate security concerns include:
- (a) Dual citizenship is based solely on parents' citizenship or birth in a foreign country;
- (b) Indicators of possible foreign preference (e.g., foreign military service) occurred before obtaining United States citizenship;
- (c) Activity is sanctioned by the United States:
- (d) Individual has expressed a willingness to renounce dual citizenship.

Guideline D: Sexual Behavior

- 12. The Concern. Sexual behavior is a security concern if it involves a criminal offense, indicates a personality or emotional disorder, may subject the individual to coercion, exploitation, or duress, or reflects lack of judgment or discretion. (The adjudicator should also consider guidelines pertaining to criminal conduct (Guideline J) and emotional, mental, and personality disorders (Guideline I) in determining how to resolve the security concerns raised by sexual behavior.) Sexual orientation or preference may not be used as a basis for a disqualifying factor in determining a person's eligibility for a security clearance.
- 13. Conditions that could raise a security concern and may be disqualifying include:
- (a) Sexual behavior of a criminal nature, whether or not the individual has been prosecuted;
- (b) Compulsive or addictive sexual behavior when the person is unable to stop a pattern of self-destructive high-risk behavior or that which is symptomatic of a personality disorder;
- (c) Sexual behavior that causes an individual to be vulnerable to coercion, exploitation, or duress;
- (d) Sexual behavior of a public nature and/ or that which reflects lack of discretion or judgment.
- 14. Conditions that could mitigate security concerns include:

- (a) The behavior occurred during or prior to adolescence and there is no evidence of subsequent conduct of a similar nature;
- (b) The behavior was not recent and there is no evidence of subsequent conduct of a similar nature;
- (c) There is no other evidence of questionable judgment, irresponsibility, or emotional instability;
- (d) The behavior no longer serves as a basis for coercion, exploitation, or duress.

Guideline E: Personal Conduct

- 15. The Concern. Conduct involving questionable judgment, untrustworthiness, unreliability, lack of candor, dishonesty, or unwillingness to comply with rules and regulations could indicate that the person may not properly safeguard classified information. The following will normally result in an unfavorable clearance action or administrative termination of further processing for clearance eligibility:
- (a) Refusal to undergo or cooperate with required security processing, including medical and psychological testing; or
- (b) Refusal to complete required security forms, releases, or provide full, frank and truthful answers to lawful questions of investigators, security officials or other official representatives in connection with a personnel security or trustworthiness determination.
- 16. Conditions that could raise a security concern and may be disqualifying also include:
- (a) Reliable, unfavorable information provided by associates, employers, coworkers, neighbors, and other acquaintances;
- (b) The deliberate omission, concealment, or falsification of relevant and material facts from any personnel security questionnaire, personal history statement, or similar form used to conduct investigations, determine employment qualifications, award benefits or status, determine security clearance eligibility or trustworthiness, or award fiduciary responsibilities;
- (c) Deliberately providing false or misleading information concerning relevant and material matters to an investigator, security official, competent medical authority, or other official representative in connection with a personnel security or trustworthiness determination.
- (d) Personal conduct or concealment of information that may increase an individual's vulnerability to coercion, exploitation, or duress, such as engaging in activities which, if known, may affect the person's personal, professional, or community standing or render the person susceptible to blackmail;
- (e) A pattern of dishonesty or rule violations, including violation of any written or recorded agreement made between the individual and the agency;
- (f) Association with persons involved in criminal activity.
- 17. Conditions that could mitigate security concerns include:
- (a) The information was unsubstantiated or not pertinent to a determination of judgment, trustworthiness, or reliability;
- (b) The falsification was an isolated incident, was not recent, and the individual

- has subsequently provided correct information voluntarily:
- (c) The individual made prompt, good-faith efforts to correct the falsification before being confronted with the facts;
- (d) Omission of material facts was caused or significantly contributed to by improper or inadequate advice of authorized personnel, and the previously omitted information was promptly and fully provided;
- (e) The individual has taken positive steps to significantly reduce or eliminate vulnerability to coercion, exploitation, or duress:
- (f) A refusal to cooperate was based on advice from legal counsel or other officials that the individual was not required to comply with security processing requirements and, upon being made aware of the requirement, fully and truthfully provided the requested information;
- (g) Association with persons involved in criminal activities has ceased.

Guideline F: Financial Considerations

- 18. The Concern. An individual who is financially overextended is at risk of having to engage in illegal acts to generate funds. Unexplained affluence is often linked to proceeds from financially profitable criminal acts.
- 19. Conditions that could raise a security concern and may be disqualifying include:
- (a) A history of not meeting financial obligations;
- (b) Deceptive or illegal financial practices such as embezzlement, employee theft, check fraud, income tax evasion, expense account fraud, filing deceptive loan statements, and other intentional financial breaches of trust;
- (c) Inability or unwillingness to satisfy debts;
 - (d) Unexplained affluence;
- (e) Financial problems that are linked to gambling, drug abuse, alcoholism, or other issues of security concern.
- 20. Conditions that could mitigate security concerns include:
- (a) The behavior was not recent;
- (b) It was an isolated incident;
- (c) The conditions that resulted in the behavior were largely beyond the person's control (e.g., loss of employment, a business downturn, unexpected medical emergency, or a death, divorce or separation);
- (d) The person has received or is receiving counseling for the problem and there are clear indications that the problem is being resolved or is under control;
- (e) The affluence resulted from a legal source; and
- (f) The individual initiated a good-faith effort to repay overdue creditors or otherwise resolve debts.

Guideline G: Alcohol Consumption

- 21. The Concern. Excessive alcohol consumption often leads to the exercise of questionable judgment, unreliability, failure to control impulses, and increases the risk of unauthorized disclosure of classified information due to carelessness.
- 22. Conditions that could raise a security concern and may be disqualifying include:
- (a) Alcohol-related incidents away from work, such as driving while under the

- influence, fighting, child or spouse abuse, or other criminal incidents related to alcohol use:
- (b) Alcohol-related incidents at work, such as reporting for work or duty in an intoxicated or impaired condition, or drinking on the job;
- (c) Diagnosis by a credentialed medical professional (e.g., physician, clinical psychologist, or psychiatrist) of alcohol abuse or alcohol dependence;
- (d) Evaluation of alcohol abuse or alcohol dependence by a licensed clinical social worker who is a staff member of a recognized alcohol treatment program;
- (e) Habitual or binge consumption of alcohol to the point of impaired judgment;
- (f) Consumption of alcohol, subsequent to a diagnosis of alcoholism by a credentialed medical professional and following completion of an alcohol rehabilitation program.
- 23. Conditions that could mitigate security concerns include:
- (a) The alcohol related incidents do not indicate a pattern;
- (b) The problem occurred a number of years ago and there is no indication of a recent problem;
- (c) Positive changes in behavior supportive of sobriety;
- (d) Following diagnosis of alcohol abuse or alcohol dependence, the individual has successfully completed inpatient or outpatient rehabilitation along with aftercare requirements, participated frequently in meetings of Alcoholics Anonymous or a similar organization, has abstained from alcohol for a period of at least 12 months, and received a favorable prognosis by a credentialed medical professional or a licensed clinical social worker who is a staff member of a recognized alcohol treatment program.

Guideline H: Drug Involvement

- 24. The Concern.
- (a) Improper or illegal involvement with drugs raises questions regarding an individual's willingness or ability to protect classified information. Drug abuse or dependence may impair social or occupational functioning, increasing the risk of an unauthorized disclosure of classified information.
- (b) Drugs are defined as mood and behavior altering substances and include: (1) Drugs, materials, and other chemical compounds identified and listed in the Controlled Substances Act of 1970, as amended (e.g., marijuana or cannabis, depressants, narcotics, stimulants, and hallucinogens), and (2) inhalants and other similar substances.
- (c) Drug abuse is the illegal use of a drug or use of a legal drug in a manner that deviates from approved medical direction.
- 25. Conditions that could raise a security concern and may be disqualifying include:
 (a) Any drug abuse (see above definition);
- (b) Illegal drug possession, including cultivation, processing, manufacture, purchase, sale, or distribution;
- (c) Diagnosis by a credentialed medical professional (e.g., physician, clinical psychologist, or psychiatrist) of drug abuse or drug dependence;

(d) Evaluation of drug abuse or drug dependence by a licensed clinical social worker who is a staff member of a recognized

drug treatment program;

- (e) Failure to successfully complete a drug treatment program prescribed by a credentialed medical professional. Recent drug involvement, especially following the granting of a security clearance, or an expressed intent not to discontinue use, will almost invariably result in an unfavorable determination.
- 26. Conditions that could mitigate security concerns include:
 - (a) The drug involvement was not recent;
- (b) The drug involvement was an isolated or aberrational event;
- (c) A demonstrated intent not to abuse any drugs in the future;
- (d) Satisfactory completion of a prescribed drug treatment program, including rehabilitation and aftercare requirements, without recurrence of abuse, and a favorable prognosis by a credentialed medical professional.

Guideline I: Emotional, Mental, and Personality Disorders

- 27. The Concern. Emotional, mental, and personality disorders can cause a significant defect in an individual's psychological, social and occupational functioning. These disorders are of security concern because they may indicate a defect in judgment, reliability, or stability. A credentialed mental health professional (e.g., clinical psychologist or psychiatrist), employed by, acceptable to or approved by the government, should be utilized in evaluating potentially disqualifying and mitigating information fully and properly, and particularly for consultation with the individual's mental health care provider.
- 28. Conditions that could raise a security concern and may be disqualifying include:
- (a) An opinion by a credentialed mental health professional that the individual has a condition or treatment that may indicate a defect in judgment, reliability, or stability;
- (b) Information that suggests that an individual has failed to follow appropriate medical advice relating to treatment of a condition, e.g., failure to take prescribed medication;
- (c) A pattern of high-risk, irresponsible, aggressive, anti-social or emotionally unstable behavior;
- (d) Information that suggests that the individual's current behavior indicates a defect in his or her judgment or reliability.
- 29. Conditions that could mitigate security clearance concerns include:
- (a) There is no indication of a current problem:
- (b) Recent opinion by a credentialed mental health professional that an individual's previous emotional, mental, or personality disorder is cured, under control or in remission and has a low probability of recurrence or exacerbation;
- (c) The past emotional instability was a temporary condition (e.g., one caused by a death, illness, or marital breakup), the situation has been resolved, and the individual is no longer emotionally unstable.

Guideline J: Criminal Conduct

- 30. *The Concern*. A history or pattern of criminal activity creates a doubt about a person's judgment, reliability and trustworthiness.
- 31. Conditions that could raise a security concern and may be disqualifying include:
- (a) Allegations or admissions of criminal conduct, regardless of whether the person was formally charged;
- (b) A single serious crime or multiple lesser offenses.
- 32. Conditions that could mitigate security concerns include:
 - (a) The criminal behavior was not recent;
 - (b) The crime was an isolated incident;
- (c) The person was pressured or coerced into committing the act and those pressures are no longer present in that person's life;
- (d) The person did not voluntarily commit the act and/or the factors leading to the violation are not likely to recur;
 - (e) Acquittal;
- (f) There is clear evidence of successful rehabilitation.

Guideline K: Security Violations

- 33. The Concern. Noncompliance with security regulations raises doubt about an individual's trustworthiness, willingness, and ability to safeguard classified information.
- 34. Conditions that could raise a security concern and may be disqualifying include:
- (a) Unauthorized disclosure of classified information:
- (b) Violations that are deliberate or multiple or due to negligence.
- 35. Conditions that could mitigate security concerns include actions that:
 - (a) Were inadvertent;
 - (b) Were isolated or infrequent;
- (c) Were due to improper or inadequate training;
- (d) Demonstrate a positive attitude towards the discharge of security responsibilities.

Guideline L: Outside Activities

- 36. The Concern. Involvement in certain types of outside employment or activities is of security concern if it poses a conflict with an individual's security responsibilities and could create an increased risk of unauthorized disclosure of classified information.
- 37. Conditions that could raise a security concern and may be disqualifying include any service, whether compensated, volunteer, or employment with:
 - (a) A foreign country;
 - (b) Any foreign national;
 - (c) A representative of any foreign interest;
- (d) Any foreign, domestic, or international organization or person engaged in analysis, discussion, or publication of material on intelligence, defense, foreign affairs, or protected technology.
- 38. Conditions that could mitigate security concerns include:
- (a) Evaluation of the outside employment or activity indicates that it does not pose a conflict with an individual's security responsibilities:
- (b) The individual terminates employment or discontinues the activity upon being notified that it is in conflict with his or her security responsibilities.

Guideline M: Misuse of Information Technology Systems

- 39. The Concern. Noncompliance with rules, procedures, guidelines, or regulations pertaining to information technology systems may raise security concerns about an individual's trustworthiness, willingness, and ability to properly protect classified systems, networks, and information. Information Technology Systems include all related equipment used for the communication, transmission, processing, manipulation, and storage of classified or sensitive information.
- 40. Conditions that could raise a security concern and may be disqualifying include:
- (a) Illegal or unauthorized entry into any information technology system;
- (b) Illegal or unauthorized modification destruction, manipulation or denial of access to information residing on an information technology system;
- (c) Removal (or use) of hardware, software, or media from any information technology system without authorization, when specifically prohibited by rules, procedures, guidelines or regulations;
- (d) Introduction of hardware, software, or media into any information technology system without authorization, when specifically prohibited by rules, procedures, guidelines or regulations.
- 41. Conditions that could mitigate security concerns include:
- (a) The misuse was not recent or significant;
- (b) The conduct was unintentional or inadvertent:
- (c) The introduction or removal of media was authorized;
 - (d) The misuse was an isolated event;
- (d) The misuse was an isolated event; (e) The misuse was followed by a prompt, good faith effort to correct the situation. [FR Doc. 01–22486 Filed 9–10–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6450–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

12 CFR Part 1510

RIN 1550-AA79

Resolution Funding Corporation Operations

AGENCY: Department of the Treasury. **ACTION:** Affirmation of interim rule as final, with amendments.

SUMMARY: The Secretary of the Treasury (Secretary) is adopting as a final rule, with amendments, an interim rule that amended the Treasury Department's regulation governing the operations of the Resolution Funding Corporation (Funding Corporation). The interim rule implemented statutory changes affecting the Funding Corporation's operations, eliminated obsolete regulatory provisions, and streamlined remaining regulatory provisions. The final rule makes two technical changes to the